# Introduction to Bayesian Learning

Machine Learning



# What we have seen so far

#### What does it mean to learn?

- Mistake-driven learning
  - Learning by counting (and bounding) number of mistakes
- PAC learnability
  - Sample complexity and bounds on errors on unseen examples

#### Various learning algorithms

- Analyzed algorithms under these models of learnability
- In all cases, the algorithm outputs a function that produces a label y for a given input x

# Coming up

Another way of thinking about "What does it mean to learn?"

- Bayesian learning

Different learning algorithms in this regime

- Naïve Bayes
- Logistic Regression

# Today's lecture

- Bayesian Learning
- Maximum a posteriori and maximum likelihood estimation
- Two examples of maximum likelihood estimation
  - Binomial distribution
  - Normal distribution

# Today's lecture

- Bayesian Learning
- Maximum a posteriori and maximum likelihood estimation
- Two examples of maximum likelihood estimation
  - Binomial distribution
  - Normal distribution

# **Probabilistic Learning**

Two different notions of probabilistic learning

# **Probabilistic Learning**

Two different notions of probabilistic learning

- Learning probabilistic concepts
  - The learned concept is a function  $c:X \rightarrow [0,1]$
  - c(x) may be interpreted as the probability that the label 1 is assigned to x
  - The learning theory that we have studied before is applicable (with some extensions)

# **Probabilistic Learning**

Two different notions of probabilistic learning

#### Learning probabilistic concepts

- The learned concept is a function  $c:X \rightarrow [0,1]$
- c(x) may be interpreted as the probability that the label 1 is assigned to x
- The learning theory that we have studied before is applicable (with some extensions)

Bayesian Learning: Use of a probabilistic criterion in selecting a hypothesis

- The hypothesis can be deterministic, a Boolean function
- The criterion for selecting the hypothesis is probabilistic

# **Bayesian Learning: The basics**

- Goal: To find the *best* hypothesis from some space H of hypotheses, using the observed data D
- Define best = most probable hypothesis in H

# **Bayesian Learning: The basics**

- Goal: To find the *best* hypothesis from some space H of hypotheses, using the observed data D
- Define best = most probable hypothesis in H
- To do that, we need to assume a probability distribution over the class H

# **Bayesian Learning: The basics**

- Goal: To find the *best* hypothesis from some space H of hypotheses, using the observed data D
- Define best = most probable hypothesis in H
- To do that, we need to assume a probability distribution over the class H
- We also need to know something about the relation between the data observed and the hypotheses
  - As we will see, we can "be Bayesian" about other things. e.g., the parameters of the model

# Bayesian methods have multiple roles

- Provide practical learning algorithms
- Combining prior knowledge with observed data
   Guide the model towards something we know
- Provide a conceptual framework
   For evaluating other learners
- Provide tools for analyzing learning

$$P(Y|X) = \frac{P(X|Y)P(Y)}{P(X)}$$



$$P(Y|X) = \frac{P(X|Y)P(Y)}{P(X)}$$









Posterior  $\propto$  Likeli*hood*  $\times$  Prior

## **Probability Refresher**

Product rule:  $P(A \land B) = P(A, B) = P(A \mid B)P(B) = P(B \mid A)P(A)$ 

Sum rule:  $P(A \lor B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A, B)$ 

Events A, B are independent if:

$$- P(A,B) = P(A) P(B)$$

- Equivalently,  $P(A \mid B) = P(A), P(B \mid A) = P(B)$ 

## **Probability Refresher**

Product rule:  $P(A \land B) = P(A, B) = P(A \mid B)P(B) = P(B \mid A)P(A)$ 

Sum rule:  $P(A \lor B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A, B)$ 

Events A, B are independent if:

$$- P(A,B) = P(A) P(B)$$

- Equivalently,  $P(A \mid B) = P(A), P(B \mid A) = P(B)$ 

#### Theorem of Total probability:

For mutually exclusive events  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  (i.e.,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ ) with  $\sum_i P(A_i) = 1$ 

$$P(B) = \sum_{i}^{n} P(B \mid A_{i})P(A_{i})$$

Given a dataset D, we want to find the best hypothesis h

What does *best* mean?

Bayesian learning uses P(h | D), the conditional probability of a hypothesis given the data, to define *best*.

Given a dataset D, we want to find the best hypothesis h What does *best* mean?

P(h|D)

Given a dataset D, we want to find the best hypothesis h What does *best* mean?





Key insight: Both h and D are events.

- D: The event that we observed *this* particular dataset
- h: The event that the hypothesis h is the true hypothesis

#### So we can apply the Bayes rule here.

#### Given a dataset D, we want to find **Bayesian Learning** the best hypothesis h What does *best* mean? $\frac{P(D)}{P(D)}$ *Posterior probability*: What is the probability that h is the hypothesis, given that the data D is observed?

Key insight: Both h and D are events.

- D: The event that we observed *this* particular dataset
- h: The event that the hypothesis h is the true hypothesis

Given a dataset D, we want to find the best hypothesis h What does *best* mean?

*Posterior probability*: What is the probability that h is the hypothesis, given that the data D is observed?

Prior probability of h: Background knowledge. What do we expect the hypothesis to be even before we see any data? For example, in the absence of any information, maybe the uniform distribution.

Given a dataset D, we want to find the best hypothesis h What does *best* mean?

*Posterior probability*: What is the probability that h is the hypothesis, given that the data D is observed? Likelihood: What is the probability that this data point (an example or an entire dataset) is observed, given that the hypothesis is h?

Prior probability of h: Background knowledge. What do we expect the hypothesis to be even before we see any data? For example, in the absence of any information, maybe the uniform distribution.

Given a dataset D, we want to find the best hypothesis h What does *best* mean?

*Posterior probability*: What is the probability that h is the hypothesis, given that the data D is observed? Likelihood: What is the probability that this data point (an example or an entire dataset) is observed, given that the hypothesis is h?

What is the probability that the data D is observed (independent of any knowledge about the hypothesis)? Prior probability of h: Background knowledge. What do we expect the hypothesis to be even before we see any data? For example, in the absence of any information, maybe the uniform distribution.

# Today's lecture

- Bayesian Learning
- Maximum a posteriori and maximum likelihood estimation
- Two examples of maximum likelihood estimation
  - Binomial distribution
  - Normal distribution

Given some data, find the most probable hypothesis – The Maximum a Posteriori hypothesis h<sub>MAP</sub>

$$h_{MAP} = \underset{h \in H}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} P(h|D)$$

Given some data, find the most probable hypothesis – The Maximum a Posteriori hypothesis h<sub>MAP</sub>

$$h_{MAP} = \arg \max_{h \in H} P(h|D)$$
  
= 
$$\arg \max_{h \in H} \frac{P(D|h)P(h)}{P(D)}$$
  
= 
$$\arg \max_{h \in H} P(D|h)P(h)$$

Given some data, find the most probable hypothesis – The Maximum a Posteriori hypothesis h<sub>MAP</sub>

$$n_{MAP} = \arg \max_{h \in H} P(h|D)$$

$$= \arg \max_{h \in H} \frac{P(D|h)P(h)}{P(D)}$$

$$= \arg \max_{h \in H} P(D|h)P(h)$$

Posterior  $\propto$  Likelihood  $\times$  Prior

Given some data, find the most probable hypothesis

– The Maximum a Posteriori hypothesis h<sub>MAP</sub>

$$h_{MAP} = \underset{h \in H}{\arg\max} P(D|h)P(h)$$

Given some data, find the most probable hypothesis

– The Maximum a Posteriori hypothesis h<sub>MAP</sub>

$$h_{MAP} = \underset{h \in H}{\arg\max} P(D|h)P(h)$$

If we assume that the prior is uniform i.e.  $P(h_i) = P(h_j)$ , for all  $h_i$ ,  $h_j$ 

- Simplify this to get the Maximum Likelihood hypothesis

$$h_{ML} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{h \in H} P(D|h)$$

Given some data, find the most probable hypothesis

– The Maximum a Posteriori hypothesis h<sub>MAP</sub>

$$h_{MAP} = \underset{h \in H}{\arg\max} P(D|h)P(h)$$

If we assume that the prior is uniform i.e.  $P(h_i) = P(h_j)$ , for all  $h_i$ ,  $h_j$ 

- Simplify this to get the Maximum Likelihood hypothesis

$$h_{ML} = \underset{h \in H}{\arg\max} P(D|h)$$

Often computationally easier to maximize *log likelihood*
## Brute force MAP learner

Input: Data D and a hypothesis set H

1. Calculate the posterior probability for each h 2 H

$$P(h|\mathbf{D}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{D}|h)P(h)}{P(\mathbf{D})}$$

2. Output the hypothesis with the highest posterior probability

$$h_{MAP} = \underset{h \in H}{\arg\max} P(D|h)P(h)$$

## Brute force MAP learner

Input: Data D and a hypothesis set H

1. Calculate the posterior probability for each h 2 H

$$P(h|\mathbf{D}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{D}|h)P(h)}{P(\mathbf{D})}$$

Difficult to compute, except for the most simple hypothesis spaces

2. Output the hypothesis with the highest posterior probability

$$h_{MAP} = \underset{h \in H}{\arg\max} P(D|h)P(h)$$

# Today's lecture

- Bayesian Learning
- Maximum a posteriori and maximum likelihood estimation
- Two examples of maximum likelihood estimation
  - <u>Bernoulli trials</u>
  - Normal distribution

## Maximum Likelihood estimation

# Maximum Likelihood estimation (MLE) $h_{ML} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{h \in H} P(D|h)$

What we need in order to define learning:

- 1. A hypothesis space H
- 2. A model that says how data D is generated given h

# Example 1: Bernoulli trials

The CEO of a startup hires you for your first consulting job

- CEO: My company makes light bulbs. I need to know what is the probability they are faulty.
- *You*: Sure. I can help you out. Are they all identical?
- *CEO*: Yes!
- You: Excellent. I know how to help. We need to experiment...

# Faulty lightbulbs

The experiment:

Try out 100 lightbulbs 80 work, 20 don't



### *You*: The probability is P(failure) = 0.2

CEO: But how do you know?

You: Because...

- P(failure) = p, P(success) = 1 p

- Each trial is i.i.d
  - Independent and identically distributed

P(failure) = p, P(success) = 1 - p



- Each trial is i.i.d
  - Independent and identically distributed
- You have seen D = {80 work, 20 don't}

$$P(D|p) = {\binom{100}{80}} p^{20} (1-p)^{80}$$

P(failure) = p, P(success) = 1 - p



- Each trial is i.i.d
  - Independent and identically distributed
- You have seen D = {80 work, 20 don't}

$$P(D|p) = {\binom{100}{80}} p^{20} (1-p)^{80}$$

• The most likely value of p for this observation is?

P(failure) = p, P(success) = 1 - p



- Each trial is i.i.d
  - Independent and identically distributed
- You have seen D = {80 work, 20 don't}

$$P(D|p) = {\binom{100}{80}} p^{20} (1-p)^{80}$$

• The most likely value of p for this observation is?

$$\underset{p}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(D|p) = \underset{p}{\operatorname{argmax}} {\binom{100}{80}} p^{20} (1-p)^{80}$$

## Say you have *a* Not-Work and b Work $p_{best} = \underset{p}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(D|h)$







Calculus 101: Set the derivative to zero



$$p_{best} = \frac{a}{a+b}$$



The model we assumed is Bernoulli. *You could assume a different model!* Next we will consider other models and see how to learn their parameters.

# Today's lecture

- Bayesian Learning
- Maximum a posteriori and maximum likelihood estimation
- Two examples of maximum likelihood estimation
  - Bernoulli trials
  - Normal distribution

## Maximum Likelihood estimation

# Maximum Likelihood estimation (MLE) $h_{ML} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{h \in H} P(D|h)$

What we need in order to define learning:

- 1. A hypothesis space H
- 2. A model that says how data D is generated given h

Suppose H consists of real valued functions

Inputs are vectors  $x \in \Re^d$  and the output is a real number  $y \in \Re$ 

Suppose H consists of real valued functions Inputs are vectors  $x \in \Re^d$  and the output is a real number  $y \in \Re$ 

Suppose the training data is generated as follows:

- An input **x**<sub>i</sub> is drawn randomly (say uniformly at random)
- The true function f is applied to get f(**x**<sub>i</sub>)
- This value is then perturbed by noise e<sub>i</sub>
  - Drawn independently according to an unknown Gaussian with zero mean

Suppose H consists of real valued functions Inputs are vectors  $x \in \Re^d$  and the output is a real number  $y \in \Re$ 

Suppose the training data is generated as follows:

- An input **x**<sub>i</sub> is drawn randomly (say uniformly at random)
- The true function f is applied to get f(**x**<sub>i</sub>)
- This value is then perturbed by noise e<sub>i</sub>
  - Drawn independently according to an unknown Gaussian with zero mean

$$y_i = f(x_i) + e_i$$

Suppose H consists of real valued functions Inputs are vectors  $x \in \Re^d$  and the output is a real number  $y \in \Re$ 

Suppose the training data is generated as follows:

- An input **x**<sub>i</sub> is drawn randomly (say uniformly at random)
- The true function f is applied to get f(**x**<sub>i</sub>)
- This value is then perturbed by noise e<sub>i</sub>
  - Drawn independently according to an unknown Gaussian with zero mean

$$y_i = f(x_i) + e_i$$

Say we have m training examples (x<sub>i</sub>, y<sub>i</sub>) generated by this process

Suppose we have a hypothesis h. We want to know what is the probability that a particular label  $y_i$  was generated by this hypothesis as  $h(x_i)$ ?

The error for this example is  $y_i - h(x_i)$ 

Suppose we have a hypothesis h. We want to know what is the probability that a particular label  $y_i$  was generated by this hypothesis as  $h(x_i)$ ?

The error for this example is  $y_i - h(x_i)$ 

Suppose we assume that this error is from a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and standard deviation=  $\sigma$ 

We can compute the probability of observing one data point  $(x_i, y_i)$ , if it were generated using the function h

$$p(y_i|h, x_i) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Probability of observing one data point  $(x_i, y_i)$ , if it were generated using the function h

$$p(y_i|h, x_i) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Probability of observing one data point  $(x_i, y_i)$ , if it were generated using the function h

$$p(y_i|h, x_i) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Each example in our dataset  $D = \{(x_i, y_i)\}$  is generated *independently* by this process

$$p(D|h) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(y_i, x_i|h) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(y_i|h, x_i)$$

 $h_{ML} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{h \in H} P(D|h)$ 

Our goal is to find the most likely hypothesis

$$h_{ML} = \arg\max_{h \in H} p(D|h) = \arg\max_{h \in H} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(y_i|h, x_i)$$

 $h_{ML} = \underset{h \in H}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} P(D|h)$ 

Our goal is to find the most likely hypothesis

 $h \in H$   $i=1 \sigma \sqrt{2\pi}$ 

$$h_{ML} = \arg\max_{h \in H} p(D|h) = \arg\max_{h \in H} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(y_i|h, x_i)$$
$$= \arg\max_{h \in H} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

 $h_{ML} = \underset{h \in H}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} P(D|h)$ 

Our goal is to find the most likely hypothesis

$$h_{ML} = \arg\max_{h \in H} p(D|h) = \arg\max_{h \in H} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(y_i|h, x_i)$$
$$= \arg\max_{h \in H} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

How do we maximize this expression? Any ideas?

 $h_{ML} = \underset{h \in H}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} P(D|h)$ 

Our goal is to find the most likely hypothesis

$$h_{ML} = \arg\max_{h \in H} p(D|h) = \arg\max_{h \in H} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(y_i|h, x_i)$$
$$= \arg\max_{h \in H} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

How do we maximize this expression? Any ideas?

Answer: Take the logarithm to simplify

 $h_{ML} = \underset{h \in H}{\arg\max} P(D|h)$ 

Our goal is to find the most likely hypothesis

$$h_{ML} = \arg\max_{h \in H} p(D|h) = \arg\max_{h \in H} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(y_i|h, x_i)$$

$$= \arg \max_{h \in H} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
$$= \arg \max_{h \in H} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{(y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

 $h_{ML} = \underset{h \in H}{\arg\max} P(D|h)$ 

Our goal is to find the most likely hypothesis

$$h_{ML} = \arg \max_{h \in H} p(D|h) = \arg \max_{h \in H} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(y_i|h, x_i)$$

$$= \arg \max_{h \in H} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$= \arg \max_{h \in H} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{(y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$= \arg \max_{h \in H} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

 $h_{ML} = \underset{h \in H}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} P(D|h)$ 

Our goal is to find the most likely hypothesis

 $h \in H$ 

$$h_{ML} = \arg \max_{h \in H} p(D|h) = \arg \max_{h \in H} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(y_i|h, x_i)$$

$$= \arg \max_{h \in H} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$= \arg \max_{h \in H} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{(y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$= \arg \max_{h \in H} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}$$
Because we assumed that the

 $\arg \min \sum (y_i - n(\mathbf{x}_i))$  standard deviation is a constant.

69

The most likely hypothesis is

$$h_{ML} = \underset{h \in H}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i) \right)^2$$

The most likely hypothesis is

$$h_{ML} = \underset{h \in H}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i) \right)^2$$

If we consider the set of linear functions as our hypothesis space:  $h(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i$ 

$$h_{ML} = \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i \right)^2$$

The most likely hypothesis is

$$h_{ML} = \underset{h \in H}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i) \right)^2$$

If we consider the set of linear functions as our hypothesis space:  $h(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i$ 

$$h_{ML} = \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)^2$$

This is the probabilistic explanation for least squares regression
## Linear regression: Two perspectives

## Loss minimization perspective

We want to minimize the difference between the squared loss error of our prediction

Minimize the total squared loss

## **Bayesian perspective**

We believe that the errors are Normally distributed with zero mean and a fixed variance

Find the linear regressor using the maximum likelihood principle

$$rgmin_{\mathbf{w}}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(y_i - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i\right)^2$$

## This lecture: Summary

- Bayesian Learning
  - Another way to ask: What is the best hypothesis for a dataset?
  - Two answers to the question: Maximum a posteriori (MAP) and maximum likelihood estimation (MLE)
- We saw two examples of maximum likelihood estimation
  - Binomial distribution, normal distribution
  - You should be able to apply both MAP and MLE to simple problems