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Transform all input points as

$$
\phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{1}^{2} \\
x_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right]
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Now, we can try to find a weight vector in this higher dimensional space
That is, predict using $\mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \geq b$

## SVM: Primals and duals

## The SVM objective

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min _{\mathbf{w}, \xi} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{w}+C \sum_{i} \xi_{i} \\
\text { s.t. } & \forall i, \\
& y_{i} \mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{i} \geq 1-\xi_{i} \\
& \forall i, \\
\xi_{i} \geq 0 .
\end{array}
$$

This is called the primal form of the objective

This can be converted to its dual form, which will let us prove a very useful property
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Has the property that max Dual $=\min$ Primal
This can be converted to its dual form, which will let us prove a very useful property

## Support vector machines

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min _{\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\xi}} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{w}+C \sum_{i} \xi_{i} \\
\text { s.t. } & \forall i, \\
& y_{i} \mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{i} \geq 1-\xi_{i} \\
& \forall i,
\end{array} \xi_{i} \geq 0 .
$$

Let $\mathbf{w}$ be the minimizer of the SVM problem for some dataset with $m$ examples: $\left\{\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right\}$
Then, for $\mathrm{i}=1$... m , there exist $\alpha_{i} \geq 0$ such that the optimum w can be written as

$$
\mathbf{w}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}
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## Support vector machines

Let $\mathbf{w}$ be the minimizer of the SVM problem for some dataset with m examples: $\left\{\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right\}$
Then, for $\mathrm{i}=1$... m , there exist $\alpha_{i} \geq 0$ such that the optimum w can be written as

$$
\mathbf{w}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}
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Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{i}=0 & \Rightarrow y_{i} \mathbf{w}^{T} x_{i} \geq 1 \\
\alpha_{i}=C & \Rightarrow y_{i} \mathbf{w}^{T} x_{i} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
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All points on the wrong side of the margin

## Support vector machines

Let $\mathbf{w}$ be the minimizer of the SVM problem for some dataset with m examples: $\left\{\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right\}$
Then, for $\mathrm{i}=1 . . \mathrm{m}$, there exist $\alpha_{i} \geq 0$ such that the optimum w can be written as

$$
\mathbf{w}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\alpha_{i}=0
$$

$$
\Rightarrow y_{i} \mathbf{w}^{T} x_{i} \geq 1
$$

$$
\alpha_{i}=C
$$

$$
\Rightarrow y_{i} \mathbf{w}^{T} x_{i} \leq 1
$$



$$
0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq C
$$

$$
\Rightarrow y_{i} \mathbf{w}^{T} x_{i}=1
$$

All points on the margin

## Support vectors

The weight vector is completely defined by training examples whose $\alpha_{i}$ s are not zero

$$
\mathbf{w}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}
$$

These examples are called the support vectors
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## Predicting with linear classifiers

- Prediction $=\operatorname{sgn}\left(\mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)$ and $\mathbf{w}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}$
- That is, we just showed that $\mathbf { w } ^ { T } \mathbf { x } = \sum _ { i } \alpha _ { i } y _ { i } \longdiv { \mathbf { x } _ { i } ^ { T } \mathbf { x } }$
- We only need to compute dot products between training examples and the new example $\mathbf{x}$
- This is true even if we map examples to a high dimensional space
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$$

## Predicting with linear classifiers

## One way to learn non-linear models

Explicitly introduce non-linearity into the feature space

- That i:


Transform all input points as

$$
\phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
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- This is dimer

Now, we can try to find a weight vector in this higher dimensional space
That is, predict using $\mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) \geq \mathrm{b}$
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$$

Predict using $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\mathbf{w}^{T} \phi(\mathbf{x})\right)=\operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} K\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}\right)\right)$
What does this new formulation give us?
If we have to compute $\phi$ every time anyway, we gain nothing

If we can compute the value of $K$ without explicitly writing the blown up representation, then we will have a computational advantage.
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## Example: Polynomial Kernel

- Given two examples $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{z}$ we want to map them to a high dimensional space [for example, quadratic]

$$
\phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\left[1, x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}, x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, \cdots x_{n}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n-1} x_{n}\right]^{T}
$$

$$
\text { and compute the dot product } \mathrm{A}=\phi(\mathbf{x})^{\top} \phi(\mathrm{z}) \quad \text { [takes time ] }
$$

- Instead, in the original space, compute

$$
B=K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=\left(1+\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{z}\right)^{2}
$$

Claim: $A=B$ (Coefficients do not really matter)

## Example: Two dimensions, quadratic kernel

$$
\mathbf{A}=\phi(\mathbf{x})^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{z}) \quad B=K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=\left(1+\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{z}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{1}^{2} \\
x_{2}^{2} \\
x_{1} x_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## The Kernel Trick

Suppose we wish to compute $K(x, z)=\phi(x)^{\top} \phi(z)$

Here $\phi$ maps $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{z}$ to a high dimensional space

The Kernel Trick: Save time/space by computing the value of $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ by performing operations in the original space (without a feature transformation!)

## Computing dot products efficiently

Kernel Trick: You want to work with degree 2 polynomial features, $\phi(\mathrm{x})$. Then, your dot product will be operate using vectors in a space of dimensionality $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+1) / 2$.

The kernel trick allows you to save time/space and compute dot products in an $n$ dimensional space.
(Not just for degree 2 polynomials)
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## Which functions are kernels?

Kernel Trick: You want to work with degree 2 polynomial features, $\phi(\mathrm{x})$. Then, your dot product will be operate using vectors in a space of dimensionality $n(n+1) / 2$.

The kernel trick allows you to save time/space and compute dot products in an $n$ dimensional space.
(Not just for degree 2 polynomials)

- Can we use any function K(.,.)?
- No! A function $K(x, z)$ is a valid kernel if it corresponds to an inner product in some (perhaps infinite dimensional) feature space.
- General condition: construct the Gram matrix $\left\{\mathrm{K}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathbf{z}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right\}$; check that it's positive semi definite


## Reminder: Positive semi-definite matrices

A symmetric matrix M is positive semi-definite if it is

- For any vector non-zero $\mathbf{z}$, we have $\mathbf{z}^{\top} \mathbf{M z} \geq 0$
(A useful property characterizing many interesting mathematical objects)


## The Kernel Matrix

- The Gram matrix of a set of $n$ vectors $S=\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1} \ldots \mathbf{x}_{n}\right\}$ is the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\boldsymbol{T}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$
- The kernel matrix is the Gram matrix of $\left\{\phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)\right\}$
- (size depends on the \# of examples, not dimensionality)


## The Kernel Matrix

- The Gram matrix of a set of $n$ vectors $S=\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1} \ldots \mathbf{x}_{n}\right\}$ is the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$
- The kernel matrix is the Gram matrix of $\left\{\phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)\right\}$
- (size depends on the \# of examples, not dimensionality)
- Showing that a function K is a valid kernel
- Direct approach: If you have the $\phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$, you have the Gram matrix (and it's easy to see that it will be positive semi-definite). Why?
- Indirect: If you have the Kernel, write down the Kernel matrix $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ij}}$, and show that it is a legitimate kernel, without an explicit construction of $\phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)$


## Mercer's condition

Let $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ be a function that maps two n dimensional vectors to a real number
$K$ is a valid kernel if for every finite set $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots\right\}$, for any choice of real valued $c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots$, we have

$$
\sum_{i} \sum_{j} c_{i} c_{j} K\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right) \geq 0
$$

## Polynomial kernels

- Linear kernel: $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z})=\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{z}$
- Polynomial kernel of degree $d: k(x, z)=\left(\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{z}\right)^{d}$
- only dth-order interactions
- Polynomial kernel up to degree $d: k(x, z)=\left(\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{z}+c\right)^{d}$ ( $\mathrm{c}>0$ )
- all interactions of order $d$ or lower


## Gaussian Kernel

(or the radial basis function kernel)

$$
K_{r b f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=\exp \left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z}\|^{2}}{c}\right)
$$

$-(x-z)^{2}$ : squared Euclidean distance between $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{z}$
$-\mathrm{c}=\sigma^{2}$ : a free parameter

- very small c: K $\approx$ identity matrix (every item is different)
- very large $c: K \approx$ unit matrix (all items are the same)
$-k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \approx 1$ when $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}$ close
$-\mathrm{k}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \approx 0$ when $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}$ dissimilar



## Gaussian Kernel

(or the radial basis function kernel)

$$
K_{r b f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=\exp \left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z}\|^{2}}{c}\right)
$$

$-(x-z)^{2}$ : squared Euclidean distance between $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{z}$

- $\mathrm{c}=\sigma^{2}$ : a free parameter
- very small c : K ~identity matrix (every item is different)
- very large $c: K \approx$ unit matrix (all items are the same)
$-k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \approx 1$ when $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}$ close
$-\mathrm{k}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \approx 0$ when $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}$ dissimilar


## Exercises:

1. Prove that this is a kernel.
2. What is the "blown up" feature space for this kernel?


## Constructing New Kernels

You can construct new kernels $k^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ from existing ones:

- Multiplying $k\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ by a constant $c$

$$
c k\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

- Multiplying $k\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ by a function $f$ applied to $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) k\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

- Applying a polynomial (with non-negative coefficients) to $k\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$

$$
P\left(k\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right) \text { with } P(z)=\sum_{i} a_{i} z^{i} \text { and } a_{i} \geq 0
$$

- Exponentiating $k\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\exp \left(k\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

## Constructing New Kernels (2)

- You can construct $k^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ from $k_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right), k_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ by:
- Adding $k_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ and $k_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ :
$k_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)+k_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$
- Multiplying $k_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ and $k_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ : $k_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) k_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$


## Constructing New Kernels (2)

- You can construct $k^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ from $k_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right), k_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ by:
- Adding $k_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ and $k_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ : $k_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)+k_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$
- Multiplying $k_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ and $k_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ : $k_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) k_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$
- Also:
- If $\phi(\mathbf{x}) \in R^{m}$ and $k_{m}\left(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right)$ a valid kernel in $R^{m}$, $k\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=k_{m}\left(\phi(x), \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is also a valid kernel
- If $\mathbf{A}$ is a symmetric positive semi-definite matrix, $k\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=x A x^{\prime}$ is also a valid kernel


## Kernel Trick: An example

Let the blown up feature space represent the space of all $3^{n}$ conjunctions. Then,

$$
K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=\sum_{i} \phi_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \phi_{i}(\mathbf{z})=2^{\text {same }(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})}
$$

where $\operatorname{same}(x, z)$ is the number of features that have the same value for both $x$ and $z$
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## Kernel Trick: An example

Let the blown up feature space represent the space of all $3^{n}$ conjunctions. Then,
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Conjunctions with literals outside this set disappear.

## Exercises

1. Show that this argument works for a specific example

- Take $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$
- $\phi(\mathbf{x})=$ The space of all $3^{n}$ conjunctions; $|\phi(\mathbf{x})|=81$
- Consider $\mathrm{x}=(1100), \mathrm{z=}=(1101)$
- Write $\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{z})$, the representation of $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}$ in the $\phi$ space
- Compute $\phi(\mathbf{x})^{\top} \phi(z)$
- Show that

$$
\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z})=\phi(\mathrm{x})^{\top} \phi(\mathrm{z})=\sum_{i} \phi_{i}(\mathrm{z}) \phi_{i}(\mathrm{x})=2^{\operatorname{same}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z})}=8
$$

2. Try to develop another kernel, e.g., where the space of all conjunctions of size 3 (exactly)

## Summary: Kernel trick

- To make the final prediction, we are computing dot products
- The kernel trick is a computational trick to compute dot products in higher dimensional spaces
- This is applicable not just to SVMs. The same idea can be extended to Perceptron too: the Kernel Perceptron
- Important: All the bounds we have seen (eg: Perceptron bound, etc) depend on the underlying dimensionality
- By moving to a higher dimensional space, we are incurring a penalty on sample complexity

