Logistic Regression

Machine Learning



Where are we?

We have seen the following ideas

- Linear models
- Learning as loss minimization
- Bayesian learning criteria (MAP and MLE estimation)

This lecture

- Logistic regression
- Training a logistic regression classifier
- Back to loss minimization

This lecture

- Logistic regression
- Training a logistic regression classifier
- Back to loss minimization

Logistic Regression: Setup

• The setting

- Binary classification
- Inputs: Feature vectors $\mathbf{x} \in \Re^d$
- Labels: $y \in \{-1, +1\}$
- Training data
 - $S = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}$, consisting of *m* examples

Classification, but...

The output y is discrete: Either -1 or +1

Instead of predicting a label, let us try to predict P(y = +1 | x)

Classification, but...

The output y is discrete: Either -1 or +1

Instead of predicting a label, let us try to predict $P(y = +1 | \mathbf{x})$

Expand hypothesis space to functions whose output is in the range [0, 1]

- Original problem: $\Re^d \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$
- Modified problem: $\Re^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$
- Effectively, make the problem a regression problem

Many hypothesis spaces possible

The hypothesis space for logistic regression: All functions of the form

$$h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$

The hypothesis space for logistic regression: All functions of the form

$$h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$

That is, a linear function, composed with a sigmoid function (the logistic function), defined as

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}$$

This is a reasonable choice. We will see why later

The hypothesis space for logistic regression: All functions of the form

$$h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$

That is, a linear function, composed with a sigmoid function (the logistic function), defined as

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}$$

What is the domain and the range of the sigmoid function?

This is a reasonable choice. We will see why later



$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}$$

What is its derivative with respect to z?

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dz} = \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}$$

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}$$

What is its derivative with respect to z?

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dz} &= \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 + \exp(-z))^2} \cdot \exp(-z) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)} \\ &= \sigma(z) \left(1 - \sigma(z)\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$P(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$

$$P(y = -1 | \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$

$$P(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$

$$P(y = -1 | \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$
$$\frac{1}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$

$$P(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$
$$P(y = -1 | \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$

According to the logistic regression model, we have

$$P(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$
$$P(y = -1 | \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$

Or equivalently

$$P(y|\mathbf{x};\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})}$$

$$P(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$

$$P(y = -1 | \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$
Or equivalently
$$Note \text{ that we are directly modeling}$$

$$P(y | x) \text{ rather than } P(x | y) \text{ and } P(y)$$

$$1$$

$$P(y|\mathbf{x};\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})}$$

Predicting a label with logistic regression

$$P(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$

- Compute P(y = +1 | x; w)
- If this is greater than half, predict +1 else predict -1
 What does this correspond to in terms of w^Tx?

Predicting a label with logistic regression

$$P(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$

- Compute P(y = +1 | x; w)
- If this is greater than half, predict +1 else predict -1
 - What does this correspond to in terms of $\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$?
 - Prediction = $sgn(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})$

This lecture

- Logistic regression
- Training a logistic regression classifier
 - First: Maximum likelihood estimation
 - Then: Adding priors \rightarrow Maximum a Posteriori estimation
- Back to loss minimization

Let's address the problem of learning

- Training data
 - $S = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}$, consisting of m examples

• What we want

- Find a weight vector \boldsymbol{w} such that $P(S \mid \boldsymbol{w})$ is maximized
- We know that our examples are drawn independently and are identically distributed (i.i.d)
- How do we proceed?

$$\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) = \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

The usual trick: Convert products to sums by taking log

Recall that this works only because log is an increasing function and the maximizer will not change



$$\operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$
$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i}^{m} \log P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$
But (by definition) we know that

$$P(y_i | \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i) = \sigma(y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)}$$





Maximizing a negative function is the same as minimizing the function





Maximum a posteriori estimation

We could also add a prior on the weights

Suppose each weight in the weight vector is drawn independently from the normal distribution with zero mean and standard deviation σ

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} p(w_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-w_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} p(w_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-w_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Let us work through this procedure again

Maximum likelihood estimation

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) = \arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$
Equivalent to solving
$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} -\log \left(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)\right)$$

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} p(w_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-w_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Let us work through this procedure again to see what changes from maximum likelihood estimation

What is the goal of MAP estimation? (In maximum likelihood estimation, we maximized the likelihood of the data)

Maximum likelihood estimation

$$\arg\max_{\mathbf{w}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) = \arg\max_{\mathbf{w}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} -\log \left(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)\right)$$

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} p(w_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-w_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

What is the goal of MAP estimation?

To maximize the posterior probability of the model given the data (i.e. to find the most probable model, given the data)

 $P(\mathbf{w}|S) \propto P(S|\mathbf{w})P(\mathbf{w})$

Maximum likelihood estimation

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) = \arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\mathsf{Equivalent to solving}$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} -\log \left(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)\right)$$

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} p(w_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-w_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Learning by solving

$$\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(\mathbf{w}|S) = \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(S|\mathbf{w})P(\mathbf{w})$$

.

Maximum likelihood estimation

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) = \arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$
Equivalent to solving
$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} -\log \left(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)\right)$$

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} p(w_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-w_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Learning by solving

 $\operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) P(\mathbf{w})$

Take log to simplify

 $\max_{\mathbf{w}} \log P(S|\mathbf{w}) + \log P(\mathbf{w})$

Maximum likelihood estimation

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) = \arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\mathsf{Equivalent to solving}$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} -\log \left(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)\right)$$

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} p(w_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-w_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Learning by solving

 $\operatorname{argmax} P(S|\mathbf{w})P(\mathbf{w})$

w Take log to simplify

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \log P(S|\mathbf{w}) + \log P(\mathbf{w})$$

We have already expanded out the first term.

$$\sum_{i}^{m} -\log(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i))$$

Maximum likelihood estimation

$$\arg\max_{\mathbf{w}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) = \arg\max_{\mathbf{w}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\lim_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} -\log (1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i))$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} -\log(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{-w_i^2}{\sigma^2} + constants$$

Maximum likelihood estimation

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) = \arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$
Equivalent to solving
$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} -\log \left(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)\right)$$

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} p(w_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-w_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Learning by solving

 $\operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) P(\mathbf{w})$

Take log to simplify

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \log P(S|\mathbf{w}) + \log P(\mathbf{w})$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i}^{m} -\log(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{-w_i^2}{\sigma^2} + constants$$

Maximum likelihood estimation

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) = \arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$
Equivalent to solving
$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} -\log \left(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)\right)$$

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} p(w_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-w_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Learning by solving

 $\operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) P(\mathbf{w})$

Take log to simplify

 $\max_{\mathbf{w}} \log P(S|\mathbf{w}) + \log P(\mathbf{w})$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i}^{m} -\log(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i) - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$$

Maximum likelihood estimation

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) = \arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$
Equivalent to solving
$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} -\log \left(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)\right)$$

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} p(w_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-w_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Learning by solving

 $\operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} P(S|\mathbf{w}) P(\mathbf{w})$

Take log to simplify

 $\max_{\mathbf{w}} \log P(S|\mathbf{w}) + \log P(\mathbf{w})$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i}^{m} \log(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i) + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$$

Maximizing a negative function is the same as minimizing the function

Learning a logistic regression classifier

Learning a logistic regression classifier is equivalent to solving

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i}^{m} \log(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i) + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$$

Learning a logistic regression classifier

Learning a logistic regression classifier is equivalent to solving

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i}^{m} \log(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i) + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$$

Where have we seen this before?

Learning a logistic regression classifier

Learning a logistic regression classifier is equivalent to solving

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i}^{m} \log(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i) + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$$

Where have we seen this before?

Exercise: Write down the stochastic gradient descent (SGD) algorithm for this?

Other training algorithms exist. For example, the LBFGS algorithm is an example of a *quasi-Newton method*. But gradient based methods like SGD and its variants are way more commonly used.

Logistic regression is...

- A classifier that predicts the probability that the label is +1 for a particular input
- The discriminative counter-part of the naïve Bayes classifier
- A discriminative classifier that can be trained via MAP or MLE estimation
- A discriminative classifier that minimizes the logistic loss over the training set

This lecture

- Logistic regression
- Training a logistic regression classifier
- Back to loss minimization

Learning as loss minimization

• The setup

- Examples x drawn from a fixed, unknown distribution D
- Hidden oracle classifier f labels examples
- We wish to find a hypothesis h that mimics f
- The ideal situation
 - Define a function L that penalizes bad hypotheses
 - Learning: Pick a function $h \in H$ to minimize expected loss

 $\min_{h \in H} E_{\mathbf{x} \sim D} \left[L\left(h(\mathbf{x}), f(\mathbf{x})\right) \right]$

But distribution D is unknown

• Instead, minimize *empirical loss* on the training set

$$\min_{h \in H} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} L(h(\mathbf{x}_i), f(\mathbf{x}_i))$$

Empirical loss minimization

Learning = minimize *empirical loss* on the training set

$$\min_{h \in H} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} L(h(\mathbf{x}_i), f(\mathbf{x}_i))$$

Is there a problem here?

Empirical loss minimization

Learning = minimize *empirical loss* on the training set

$$\min_{h \in H} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} L(h(\mathbf{x}_i), f(\mathbf{x}_i))$$

Is there a problem here?

Overfitting!

We need something that biases the learner towards simpler hypotheses

Achieved using a regularizer, which penalizes complex hypotheses

Regularized loss minimization

- Learning: $\min_{h \in H} \operatorname{regularizer}(h) + C \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} L(h(\mathbf{x}_i), f(\mathbf{x}_i))$
- With linear classifiers: (using ℓ_2 regularization)

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + C \sum_i L(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$$

- What is a loss function?
 - Loss functions should penalize mistakes
 - We are minimizing average loss over the training data
- What is the ideal loss function for classification?

The 0-1 loss

Penalize classification mistakes between true label y and prediction y'

$$L_{0-1}(y, y') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \neq y', \\ 0 & \text{if } y = y'. \end{cases}$$

- For linear classifiers, the prediction y' = sgn(w^Tx)
 - Mistake if $y w^T x \le 0$

$$L_{0-1}(y, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} \le 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Minimizing 0-1 loss is intractable. Need surrogates

$\min_{h \in H} \operatorname{regularizer}(h) + C \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} L(h(\mathbf{x}_i), f(\mathbf{x}_i))$ The loss function zoo

Many loss functions exist

- Perceptron loss $L_{Perceptron}(y, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \max(0, -y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})$
- Hinge loss (SVM) $L_{Hinge}(y, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \max(0, 1 y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})$
- Exponential loss (AdaBoost) $L_{Exponential}(y, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = e^{-y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}}$
- Logistic loss (logistic regression) $L_{Logistic}(y, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \log(1 + e^{-y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}})$

















yw[⊤]x

This lecture

- Logistic regression
- Training a logistic regression classifier
- Back to loss minimization
- Connection to Naïve Bayes

Remember that the naïve Bayes decision is a linear function

$$\log \frac{P(y = -1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})}{P(y = +1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$$

Here, the P's represent the Naïve Bayes posterior distribution, and **w** can be used to calculate the priors and the likelihoods.

That is,
$$P(y = 1 | \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x})$$
 is computed using $P(\mathbf{x} | y = 1, \mathbf{w})$ and $P(y = 1 | \mathbf{w})$

Remember that the naïve Bayes decision is a linear function

$$\log \frac{P(y = -1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})}{P(y = +1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$$

But we also know that $P(y = +1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = 1 - P(y = -1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$

Remember that the naïve Bayes decision is a linear function

$$\log \frac{P(y = -1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})}{P(y = +1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$$

But we also know that $P(y = +1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = 1 - P(y = -1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$

Substituting in the above expression, we will get

$$P(y = +1 | \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$

Exercise: Show this formally

Remember that the naïve Bayes decision is a linear function



$$P(y = +1 | \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$